

COLUMBUS, OH 43215

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/650,095 08/26/2003 Bob Cohn 3998355-141582 5894 23570 **EXAMINER** 7590 01/14/2005 PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR, LLP DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **41 SOUTH HIGH STREET** 28TH FLOOR 3611

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | \  |
|---|---|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
| Office Action Comment   | 10/650,095  | COHN, BOB  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
| The MAN INCOMES CONTRACTOR  | Cassandra Davis   | 3611   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |   |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 C     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the | s action is non-final.<br>Ince except for formal matters, pro   |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17,23 and 24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15,23 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 5 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | wn from consideration.  | -  |
| Application Papers  |   | *  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.   | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ts have been received.<br>ts have been received in Applicat<br>prity documents have been receiv<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) ☐ Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail D  |  |

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 12, 13, 15, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuart, U.S. Patent 5,284,365 in view of Sniderman, U.S. Patent 6,265,035.

With respect to claim 1 and 12, Stuart teaches a greeting card with and ornament for attachment to a Christmas tree. The ornament comprises a support member (Christmas tree); a plaque (support assembly 44) including a plate member (support member 54) and an adhesive layer (64) on a side of the plate member 54 for adhering the picture (message insert 42) to the plate member 54; and elastic loop or string 63 for hanging the ornament on the Christmas tree.

Stuart does not teach the ornament having a swivel hanging means.

Sniderman teaches a Christmas tree ornament hung form the Christmas tree using string, elastic cord, rubber band, small swivel hooks, etc. (See column 3, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the ornament taught by Stuart with a swivel hook as taught by Sniderman to provide a means so that the ornament can pivot freely.

Art Unit: 3611

With respect to claims and 13 and 2, the plaque 44 taught by Stuart includes an adhesive layer 64 opposed sides of the plaque member for adhering another a first and second picture 42. (See figures 4-5, column 5, lines 8-40 and claim 22)

With respect to claim 4 and 15, Stuart teaches the plate member can be comprised of a magnetic material. (See column 4, lines 46-53).

With respect to claim 7, Stuart teaches the support member has an inclined vertical member 62 for supporting the ornament on a tabletop. (See column 4, lines 63-68).

With respect to claim 8, Both Stuart and Sniderman teach the support member (Christmas tree) is adapted to support a plurality of ornaments.

With respect to claim 9, Sniderman teaches the swivel hook.

With respect to claims 23 and 24, Stuart teaches release layers 68 covering the adhesive layer.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 12, 13, 15, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuart in view of Miller.

Stuart does not teach the ornament having a swivel hanging means.

Miller teaches a Christmas tree ornament swivel barrel type hook. (See figures 1-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the ornament taught by Stuart with a swivel hook as taught by Miller to provide a means so that the ornament can pivot freely.

Art Unit: 3611

With respect to claims and 13 and 2, the plaque 44 taught by Stuart includes an adhesive layer 64 opposed sides of the plaque member for adhering another a first and second picture 42. (See figures 4-5, column 5, lines 8-40 and claim 22)

With respect to claim 4 and 15, Stuart teaches the plate member can be comprised of a magnetic material. (See column 4, lines 46-53).

With respect to claim 7, Stuart teaches the support member has an inclined vertical member 62 for supporting the ornament on a tabletop. (See column 4, lines 63-68).

With respect to claim 8, Stuart teaches the support member (Christmas tree) is adapted to support a plurality of ornaments.

With respect to claims 6, 9, and 17, Miller teaches the barrel type swivel hook.

With respect to claims 23 and 24, Stuart teaches release layers 68 covering the adhesive layer.

With respect to claim 3 and 14, since the applicant does not disclose that constructing the plate member of paperboard material solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, it appears that construct the plate member of any suitable material as taught by Stuart would perform equally well in retaining the two picture on the opposite side thereof.

Claims 1,12, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuart in view of Ellison, U. S. Patent 6,230,425. Ellison teaches an ornament greeting card comprising an ornament with attachment means 4 and suction cup for attaching the ornament to a support surface such as a window. The ornament can be

attached to windows or vertical surfaces by means of attachment means such as suction cups, releasable adhesive, permanent adhesive, hooks, a refrigerator magnet, etc. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to support the ornament taught by Stuart upon a magnetic attachment device secured to vertical support surface as taught by Ellison to provide a means to removably attach the ornament against a metallic surface.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Juern, U. S. Patent 6,775,939 and Kirkman, U. S. Patent 3,030,718 are cited to show double-sided display suspended by a swivel hook.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3611

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3611

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 7

CD January 10, 200**5**